

# STAR

## APPLIED PROBABILITY FROM A QUEUEING PERSPECTIVE

Michel Mandjes

Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands &  
CWI, Amsterdam, the Netherlands &  
Eurandom, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

STAR

# STAR

Intensifying an existing collaboration...

STAR

Stochastic Operations Research

# STAR

## Stochastic Operations Research:

how to optimally allocate scarce resources in an inherently uncertain environment.

### Examples:

- ★ Network design;
- ★ Logistic and manufacturing networks;
- ★ Production systems;
- ★ Health care systems;
- ★ ...

# STAR

## Stochastic Operations Research:

how to optimally allocate scarce resources in an inherently uncertain environment.

Examples:

- ★ Network design;
- ★ Logistic and manufacturing networks;
- ★ Production systems;
- ★ Health care systems;
- ★ ...

Central notion: [queue](#).

# STAR

More recent insights:

- ★ Queueing theory can be applied when analyzing ruin probabilities in insurance risk;
- ★ Stochastic modelling is a promising technique in (system) biology;
- ★ ...

# STAR

Stochastic Operations Research: traditionally strong in the Netherlands.

Groups in Amsterdam (VU, CWI, UvA), Eindhoven, Twente, . . .

# STAR

Stochastic Operations Research: traditionally strong in the Netherlands.

Groups in Amsterdam (VU, CWI, UvA), Eindhoven, Twente, . . . .

Also internationally central position.

Major conferences: INFORMS Appl. Prob. (Eindhoven 2007), ITC (Amsterdam 2010), NETCOOP (Eindhoven 2009), STOPERA (Amsterdam 2006).

# SIMULATION-BASED COMPUTATION OF THE WORKLOAD CORRELATION FUNCTION IN A LÉVY-DRIVEN QUEUE

Michel Mandjes

Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands &  
CWI, Amsterdam, the Netherlands &  
Eurandom, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

STAR — Cluster in Stochastics  
Joint work with Peter Glynn (Stanford)

WHAT IS A QUEUE?

# WHAT IS A QUEUE?

I. Definition as extension of 'natural notion' in discrete time.

Let  $Q_0 = x$ . Then

$$Q_{n+1} = \max\{Q_n + Y_n, 0\}.$$

# WHAT IS A QUEUE?

I. Definition as extension of 'natural notion' in discrete time.

Let  $Q_0 = x$ . Then

$$Q_{n+1} = \max\{Q_n + Y_n, 0\}.$$

Iterate:  $Q_{n+1} = \max\{Q_{n-1} + Y_{n-1} + Y_n, Y_n, 0\}$ . With  $X_n := \sum_{i=0}^n Y_i$ , this leads to

$$Q_n = X_n + \max \left\{ x, \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} -X_i \right\}.$$

# WHAT IS A QUEUE?

I. Definition as extension of 'natural notion' in discrete time.

Let  $Q_0 = x$ . Then

$$Q_{n+1} = \max\{Q_n + Y_n, 0\}.$$

Iterate:  $Q_{n+1} = \max\{Q_{n-1} + Y_{n-1} + Y_n, Y_n, 0\}$ . With  $X_n := \sum_{i=0}^n Y_i$ , this leads to

$$Q_n = X_n + \max\left\{x, \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} -X_i\right\}.$$

Then take the continuous-time counterpart:

$$Q_t = X_t + \max\{x, L_t\}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with

$$L_t := \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} -X_u = - \inf_{0 \leq u \leq t} X_u.$$

## WHAT IS A QUEUE?

II. Definition through Skorokhod problem:  $(Q_t)_t$  is reflection of  $(X_t)_t$  at 0.

Let  $(L_t^*)_t$  be a nondecreasing right-continuous process such that

(a)  $(Q_t)_t$ , given by  $Q_0 = x$  and  $Q_t = X_t + L_t^*$ , is non-negative for all  $t \geq 0$ ;

(b)  $L_t^*$  can only increase when  $Q_t = 0$ , that is

$$\int_0^T Q_t dL_t^* = 0, \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

## WHAT IS A QUEUE?

II. Definition through Skorokhod problem:  $(Q_t)_t$  is reflection of  $(X_t)_t$  at 0.

Let  $(L_t^*)_t$  be a nondecreasing right-continuous process such that

(a)  $(Q_t)_t$ , given by  $Q_0 = x$  and  $Q_t = X_t + L_t^*$ , is non-negative for all  $t \geq 0$ ;

(b)  $L_t^*$  can only increase when  $Q_t = 0$ , that is

$$\int_0^T Q_t dL_t^* = 0, \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

Then

$$Q_t = X_t + L_t^* = X_t + \max\{x, L_t\}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and (local time!)

$$L_t = -M_t := -\inf_{0 \leq u \leq t} X_u.$$

## WHAT IS A QUEUE?

In this way we have a well-defined notion of 'a queue fed by Brownian motion' (also called 'reflected Brownian motion').

## WHAT IS A QUEUE?

In this way we have a well-defined notion of 'a queue fed by Brownian motion' (also called 'reflected Brownian motion').

Queue with stationary increments:  $X_t - X_{t-s}$  has, for a given  $s$ , the same distribution, irrespective of  $t$ .

Steady-state distribution  $Q \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_t$  exists if  $\mathbb{E}X_1 < 0$ .

## WHAT IS A QUEUE?

In this way we have a well-defined notion of 'a queue fed by Brownian motion' (also called 'reflected Brownian motion').

Queue with stationary increments:  $X_t - X_{t-s}$  has, for a given  $s$ , the same distribution, irrespective of  $t$ .

Steady-state distribution  $Q \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_t$  exists if  $\mathbb{E}X_1 < 0$ .

$(Q_t)_t$  often referred to as *workload process*.

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

What happens if we feed the queue by a highly correlated input process  $(X_t)_t$ ?

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

What happens if we feed the queue by a highly correlated input process  $(X_t)_t$ ?

Is this high correlation inherited by the workload process?

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

Example: fractional Brownian motion. Then  $X_t \sim \text{Norm}(-t, |t|^{2H})$ , where  $H \in (0, 1)$  is the so-called *Hurst parameter*.

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

Example: fractional Brownian motion. Then  $X_t \sim \text{Norm}(-t, |t|^{2H})$ , where  $H \in (0, 1)$  is the so-called *Hurst parameter*.

$$c_t := \text{Cov}(X_{t+\varepsilon} - X_t, X_\varepsilon - X_0) \sim t^{2H-2}.$$

In particular,  $c_t$  is nonsummable for  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ . We say: (the rate process of)  $(X_t)_t$  is *long-range dependent*.

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

Example: fractional Brownian motion. Then  $X_t \sim \text{Norm}(-t, |t|^{2H})$ , where  $H \in (0, 1)$  is the so-called *Hurst parameter*.

$$c_t := \text{Cov}(X_{t+\varepsilon} - X_t, X_\varepsilon - X_0) \sim t^{2H-2}.$$

In particular,  $c_t$  is nonsummable for  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ . We say: (the rate process of)  $(X_t)_t$  is *long-range dependent*.

**Key question:** what about

$$r(t) := \text{Cov}(Q_0, Q_t)??$$

Is the workload process long-range dependent?

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

**Key question:** what about

$$r(t) := \text{Cov}(Q_0, Q_t)??$$

Is the workload process long-range dependent?

**Conjecture** (M., Norros, & Glynn, *Ann. Appl. Prob.* '09):

$$r(t) \sim t^{2H-2};$$

that is, workload process is long-range dependent for  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

Was not proven yet.

Main difficulty: not straightforward to write  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{OV}}(Q_0, Q_t)$  as large-deviation probability.

Therefore: other techniques are needed.

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

Was not proven yet.

Main difficulty: not straightforward to write  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{ov}}(Q_0, Q_t)$  as large-deviation probability.

Therefore: other techniques are needed.

There are partial results, though, see thesis Es-Saghouani. Focus on probabilities of the type

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_0 > pB, Q_{TB} > qB)$$

for  $B$  large. Assume queue is in stationarity at time 0!

## DEPENDENCE STRUCTURE

There are partial results, though, see thesis Es-Saghouani. Focus on probabilities of the type

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_0 > pB, Q_{TB} > qB)$$

for  $B$  large. Assume queue is in stationarity at time 0!

There large-deviations theory *is* applicable.

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_0 > pB, Q_{TB} > qB) - \mathbb{P}(Q > pB)\mathbb{P}(Q > qB)$$

is some sort of covariance for indicator functions.

## THIS TALK

Same question, but now the queue is driven by a Lévy process (stationary and *independent* increments).

## THIS TALK

Same question, but now the queue is driven by a Lévy process (stationary and *independent* increments).

Now input process is (evidently) *not* long-range dependent...

## THIS TALK

Same question, but now the queue is driven by a Lévy process (stationary and *independent* increments).

Now input process is (evidently) *not* long-range dependent...

... but what can be said about workload process?

# SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED LÉVY PROCESSES

Lévy process: stochastic process with independent and identically distributed increments.

# SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED LÉVY PROCESSES

Lévy process: stochastic process with independent and identically distributed increments.

$(X_t)_t$ : Lévy process without one-sided jumps; drift  $\mathbb{E}X_1 < 0$ .

Key object in applied probability.

# SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED LÉVY PROCESSES

Lévy process: stochastic process with independent and identically distributed increments.

$(X_t)_t$ : Lévy process without one-sided jumps; drift  $\mathbb{E}X_1 < 0$ .

Key object in applied probability.

Spectrally one-sided: jumps are *either* only positive (**spectrally positive**)

*or* only negative (**spectrally negative**).

# SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED LÉVY PROCESSES: EXAMPLES

Important examples of spectrally one-sided Lévy processes are:

- ★ *Brownian motion with drift* (being actually both spectrally positive and negative).
- ★ *Compound Poisson with drift*. Non-negative jobs arrive according to a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ ; the jobs  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. samples from a distribution with Laplace transform  $b(\alpha) := \mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha B}$ ; the storage system is continuously depleted at a rate 1.  
If drift would be positive, and jobs would be i.i.d. samples from a non-positive distribution (i.e., the jumps are downward), the process is spectrally negative.

## INDUCED QUEUEING PROCESS

$(Q_t)_t$  denotes the *reflection* of spectrally one-sided Lévy process  $(X_t)_t$  at 0:

$$Q_t := X_t + \max\{Q_0, -M_t\}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is the decreasing process defined by  $M_t := \inf_{0 \leq u \leq t} X_u$ .

We assume that the queue is already in stationarity at time  $t = 0$ .

## SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED

Spectrally-positive case:

Laplace exponent:  $\varphi$ . Thus  $\varphi(\alpha) := \log \mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha X_1}$ .

Increasing and convex on  $[0, \infty)$ , with slope  $-\mathbb{E}X_1$  in the origin.

Inverse is  $\psi$ .

Assume that  $X_t$  is not a subordinator, i.e., a monotone process.

## SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED

Spectrally-positive case:

Laplace exponent:  $\varphi$ . Thus  $\varphi(\alpha) := \log \mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha X_1}$ .

Increasing and convex on  $[0, \infty)$ , with slope  $-\mathbb{E}X_1$  in the origin.

Inverse is  $\psi$ .

Stationary behavior is well understood;

the steady-state distribution of  $Q := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_t$  is given through

$$\kappa(s) := \mathbb{E}e^{-sQ} = s \frac{\varphi'(0)}{\varphi(s)}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

*Generalized Pollaczek-Khinchine formula.*

## SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED

Spectrally-positive case, ctd.:

The steady-state distribution of  $Q := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_t$  is given through

$$\kappa(s) := \mathbb{E}e^{-sQ} = s \frac{\varphi'(0)}{\varphi(s)}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

*Generalized Pollaczek-Khinchine formula.*

Mean and variance:

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}Q = \frac{\varphi''(0)}{2\varphi'(0)}; \quad v := \text{Var}Q = \left( \frac{\varphi''(0)}{2\varphi'(0)} \right)^2 - \frac{\varphi'''(0)}{3\varphi'(0)}.$$

We assume that  $v < \infty$ , i.e.,  $\varphi'''(0) < \infty$ .

Brownian motion:  $\varphi(\alpha) = -\alpha\mu + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\sigma^2$ ;

Compound Poisson:  $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha - \lambda + \lambda b(\alpha)$ .

## SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED

Spectrally-negative case:

Define  $\Phi(\beta) := \log \mathbb{E}e^{\beta X_1}$ , for  $\beta \geq 0$ .

Again rule out that  $X_t$  is a subordinator (and recalling that  $\Phi'(0) = \mathbb{E}X_1 < 0$ ).

Note:  $\Phi(\beta)$  is no bijection on  $[0, \infty)$ . Therefore define the *right* inverse through

$$\Psi(q) := \sup\{\beta \geq 0 : \Phi(\beta) = q\}.$$

Realize that  $\beta_0 := \Psi(0) > 0$ .

## SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED

Spectrally-negative case, ctd.:

$\mathbb{E}e^{\beta_0 X_t}$  is martingale, with  $\beta_0 := \Psi(0) > 0$ .

'Optional sampling' thus gives, for any positive  $x$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\exists t \geq 0 : X_t > x)e^{\beta_0 x} = 1$ .

## SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED

Spectrally-negative case, ctd.:

$\mathbb{E}e^{\beta_0 X_t}$  is martingale, with  $\beta_0 := \Psi(0) > 0$ .

'Optional sampling' thus gives, for any positive  $x$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\exists t \geq 0 : X_t > x)e^{\beta_0 x} = 1$ .

Now  $Q$  is distributed as the supremum over  $t \geq 0$  of  $X_t$  ('Reich's identity').

Hence:  $Q$  is exponentially distributed with mean  $1/\beta_0$ .

It follows that  $v = 1/\beta_0^2$ .

# CORRELATION FUNCTION

This rest of this talk focuses on

- ★ structural properties of the workload covariance function;
- ★ efficient computation of the workload covariance function, through simulation.

# CORRELATION FUNCTION

This rest of this talk focuses on

- ★ structural properties of the workload covariance function;
- ★ efficient computation of the workload covariance function, through simulation.

Recall: correlation function is

$$r(t) := \frac{\text{Cov}(Q_0, Q_t)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}Q_0 \text{Var}Q_t}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(Q_0 Q_t) - (\mathbb{E}Q_0)^2}{\text{Var}Q_0}.$$

Its Laplace transform is

$$\rho(\vartheta) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\vartheta t} r(t) dt.$$

## CORRELATION FUNCTION

**Theorem:** In the spectrally-positive case, the Laplace transform  $\rho(\vartheta)$  of  $r(t)$  is given by

$$\rho(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\vartheta} - \frac{\varphi''(0)}{2v\vartheta^2} + \frac{\varphi'(0)}{v\vartheta^2} \left( \frac{1}{\vartheta\psi'(\vartheta)} - \frac{1}{\psi(\vartheta)} \right).$$

(See Es Saghouani and M., *J. Appl. Prob.*, 2008).

## CORRELATION FUNCTION, ctd.

- For  $T \sim \text{Exp}(\vartheta)$  independent of  $X_t$  we have (Kella, Boxma, and M., *J. Appl. Prob.*, 2006)

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-sQ_T} | Q_0 = q) = \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta - \varphi(s)} \left( e^{-sq} - s \frac{e^{-\psi(\vartheta)q}}{\psi(\vartheta)} \right). \quad (1)$$

- Differentiate (1) w.r.t.  $s$  and let  $s \downarrow 0$  yields

$$\int_0^\infty \vartheta e^{-\vartheta t} \mathbb{E}(Q_t | Q_0 = q) dt = -\frac{\varphi'(0)}{\vartheta} + q + \frac{e^{-\psi(\vartheta)q}}{\psi(\vartheta)}.$$

- The result follows from

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\vartheta t} \mathbb{E}(Q_0 Q_t) dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{q}{\vartheta} \int_0^\infty \vartheta e^{-\vartheta t} \mathbb{E}(Q_t | Q_0 = q) dt d\mathbb{P}(Q_0 \leq q).$$

## CORRELATION FUNCTION, ctd.

Example: Brownian motion

$$\rho(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\vartheta} - \frac{2}{\vartheta^2} + \frac{2}{\vartheta^3} \left( \sqrt{1 + 2\vartheta} - 1 \right)$$

which we can explicitly invert to obtain

$$r(t) = 2(1 - 2t - t^2) \left( 1 - \Phi_N(\sqrt{t}) \right) + 2\sqrt{t}(1 + t)\phi_N(\sqrt{t}),$$

where  $\Phi_N(\cdot)$  (resp.  $\phi_N(\cdot)$ ) is the standard Normal distribution (resp. density).

## CORRELATION FUNCTION, ctd.

Es-Saghouani and M.: structural properties for spectrally-positive case, such as  $r(t)$  is positive, decreasing, convex, complementing M/G/1 results by Ott.

Relying on machinery of completely monotone functions (Bernstein, 1929). (We'll demonstrate this concept for the spectrally-negative case.)

In addition: asymptotics of  $r(t)$  for  $t$  large.

## CORRELATION FUNCTION

But how about spectrally-negative case?

## CORRELATION FUNCTION

But how about spectrally-negative case?

**$q$ -scale functions:**  $W^{(q)}(x)$  is a strictly increasing and continuous function whose Laplace transform satisfies

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\beta x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\Phi(\beta) - q}, \quad \beta > \Psi(q), \quad (2)$$

and in addition

$$Z^{(q)}(x) := 1 + q + \int_0^x W^{(q)}(y) dy. \quad (3)$$

## CORRELATION FUNCTION: TRANSFORM

Pistorius, *J. Th. Prob.*, 2004: transform (with respect to  $t$ ) of the density of  $Q_t$ , given that  $Q_0 = x$ :

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt} \mathbb{P}_x(Q_t = y) dt = e^{-\Psi(q)y} \frac{\Psi(q)}{q} Z^{(q)}(x) - W^{(q)}(x - y).$$

## CORRELATION FUNCTION: TRANSFORM

Pistorius, *J. Th. Prob.*, 2004: transform (with respect to  $t$ ) of the density of  $Q_t$ , given that  $Q_0 = x$ :

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt} \mathbb{P}_x(Q_t = y) dt = e^{-\Psi(q)y} \frac{\Psi(q)}{q} Z^{(q)}(x) - W^{(q)}(x - y).$$

Hence, with  $T$  an exponential random variable with mean  $q^{-1}$ ,

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\beta x} \mathbb{E}_x e^{-\alpha Q_T} dx = I_1 - I_2;$$

here

$$I_1 := \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} q e^{-\beta x} e^{-\alpha y} e^{-\Psi(q)y} \frac{\Psi(q)}{q} Z^{(q)}(x) dx dy = \dots = \frac{\Psi(q)}{\Psi(q) + \alpha \beta} \frac{1}{\Phi(\beta) - q} \left( 1 + \frac{q}{\Phi(\beta) - q} \right),$$

$$I_2 := \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} q e^{-\beta x} e^{-\alpha y} W^{(q)}(x) dx dy = \dots = \frac{q}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{1}{\Phi(\beta) - q}.$$

## CORRELATION FUNCTION: TRANSFORM

So we have an expression for

$$\int_0^{\infty} \beta e^{-\beta x} \mathbb{E}_x e^{-\alpha Q_T} dx.$$

## CORRELATION FUNCTION: TRANSFORM

So we have an expression for

$$\int_0^{\infty} \beta e^{-\beta x} \mathbb{E}_x e^{-\alpha Q_T} dx.$$

Sanity checks:

- Plugging in  $\alpha = 0$  yields 1.
- Plugging in  $\beta = \beta_0$  yields the steady-state transform  $\beta_0/(\beta_0 + \alpha)$ :  
when starting in the queue's equilibrium distribution at time 0, the workload is still in stationarity after an exponentially distributed time (irrespective of  $q$ ).

## CORRELATION FUNCTION: TRANSFORM

With  $T$  having an exponential distribution with mean  $q^{-1}$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty qe^{-qt}\mathbb{E}(Q_0Q_t)dt = \int_0^\infty \beta_0xe^{-\beta_0x}\mathbb{E}_xQ_T dx = \lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left[ \beta \cdot \frac{d}{d\beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta x}\mathbb{E}_xe^{-\alpha Q_T}dx \Big|_{\beta=\beta_0} \right].$$

## CORRELATION FUNCTION: TRANSFORM

With  $T$  having an exponential distribution with mean  $q^{-1}$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty qe^{-qt}\mathbb{E}(Q_0Q_t)dt = \int_0^\infty \beta_0xe^{-\beta_0x}\mathbb{E}_xQ_T dx = \lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left[ \beta \cdot \frac{d}{d\beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta x}\mathbb{E}_xe^{-\alpha Q_T} dx \Big|_{\beta=\beta_0} \right].$$

We eventually find, after considerable calculus, the following result.

**Theorem:** In the spectrally-negative case, the Laplace transform  $\rho(\vartheta)$  of  $r(t)$  is given by

$$\rho(q) := \int_0^\infty r(t) e^{-qt} dt = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta_0^2}{q^2} \Phi'(\beta_0) \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(q)} - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \right).$$

## CORRELATION FUNCTION: TRANSFORM

**Corollary:** For the spectrally-negative case,

$$\rho(0) := \int_0^\infty r(t)dt = \frac{1}{\beta_0 \Phi'(\beta_0)} + \frac{\Phi''(\beta_0)}{2(\Phi'(\beta_0))^3} < \infty.$$

# CORRELATION FUNCTION: STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES

**Theorem:**  $r(\cdot)$  is positive, decreasing, and convex.

*Proof:* Mimic the proof in Es-Saghouani and M. for the spectrally-positive case.  $\mathcal{C}$ : class of completely monotone functions.

## CORRELATION FUNCTION: STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES

Integration by parts:

$$\rho^{(1)}(q) := \int_0^\infty r'(t)dt = \frac{\beta_0^2}{q} \Phi'(\beta_0) \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(q)} - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \right);$$

$$\rho^{(2)}(q) := \int_0^\infty r''(t)dt = -r'(0) + \beta_0^2 \Phi'(\beta_0) \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(q)} - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \right).$$

Later we show that  $\Psi(0)/\Psi(q) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Conclude:  $\rho^{(2)}(q)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and hence  $r''(\cdot)$  is positive, i.e.,  $r(\cdot)$  is convex.

Known:  $f(q) \in \mathcal{C}$  implies that, with  $g(q) := (f(0) - f(q))/q$ , also  $g(q) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Taking  $f(q) = \rho^{(2)}(q)$ , we have  $-\rho^{(1)}(q)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and hence  $r'(\cdot)$  is negative, i.e.,  $r(\cdot)$  is decreasing.

Similarly,  $\rho(q)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and hence  $r(\cdot)$  is positive. □

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

$\tau := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Q_t = 0\}$ , where  $Q_0$  has stationary distribution.

$p(t) := \mathbb{P}(\tau > t)$ .

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

$\tau := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Q_t = 0\}$ , where  $Q_0$  has stationary distribution.

$p(t) := \mathbb{P}(\tau > t)$ .

Spectrally positive:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\vartheta t} p(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-\vartheta t} \mathbb{P}(\tau(x) > t) dt \right) d\mathbb{P}(Q_0 < x) = \frac{1}{\vartheta} \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - e^{-\psi(\vartheta)q} \right) d\mathbb{P}(Q_0 < q).$$

Application of 'Pollaczek-Khinchine':

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\vartheta t} p(t) dt = \frac{1}{\vartheta} - \varphi'(0) \frac{\psi(\vartheta)}{\vartheta^2}.$$

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

Spectrally negative:

Recall that  $\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} \mathbb{P}(\tau > t) dt = q^{-1} (1 - \mathbb{E}e^{-q\tau})$ .

Known result:

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-q\tau} = \int_0^\infty \beta_0 e^{-\beta_0 x} \mathbb{E}e^{-q\tau(x)} dx = \beta_0 \cdot \frac{\hat{\kappa}(q, \beta_0) - \hat{\kappa}(q, 0)}{\beta_0 \hat{\kappa}(q, \beta_0)};$$

here  $\hat{\kappa}(q, \beta)$  relates to the transform of the so-called *descending ladder process*;

in spectrally-negative case:  $\hat{\kappa}(q, \beta) = (q - \Phi(\beta))/(\Psi(q) - \beta)$ . Using that  $\Phi(\beta_0) = 0$ , we find

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-q\tau} = \Psi(0)/\Psi(q),$$

and in addition

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} p(t) dt = \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{\Psi(0)}{\Psi(q)} \right).$$

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

Striking feature: transforms have the same *branching point* as the transforms of the correlation function!!

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

Striking feature: transforms have the same *branching point* as the transforms of the correlation function!!

Spectrally positive, light tails ( $\exists \alpha < 0 : \varphi(\alpha) = 0$ ): we roughly have

$$r(t) \sim p(t) \sim e^{\vartheta^* t},$$

where  $\zeta$  is the minimizer of  $\varphi(\cdot)$  and  $\vartheta^* = \varphi(\zeta)$  the branching point of  $\psi(\cdot)$ .

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

Striking feature: transforms have the same *branching point* as the transforms of the correlation function!!

Spectrally positive, light tails ( $\exists \alpha < 0 : \varphi(\alpha) = 0$ ): we roughly have

$$r(t) \sim p(t) \sim e^{\vartheta^* t},$$

where  $\zeta$  is the minimizer of  $\varphi(\cdot)$  and  $\vartheta^* = \varphi(\zeta)$  the branching point of  $\psi(\cdot)$ .

Spectrally negative: we roughly have

$$r(t) \sim p(t) \sim e^{q^* t},$$

where  $\zeta$  is the minimizer of  $\Phi(\cdot)$  and  $q^* = \Phi(\zeta)$  the branching point of  $\Psi(\cdot)$ .

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

Naive simulation: estimate  $p(t)$  by

$$S_n^{(\text{NS})}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1\{\tau_i > t\}.$$

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

Naive simulation: estimate  $p(t)$  by

$$S_n^{(\text{NS})}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1\{\tau_i > t\}.$$

Number of runs needed to obtain estimate of given precision?

$$\frac{\sqrt{\text{Var} S_n^{(\text{NS})}(t)}}{p(t)} < \varepsilon,$$

and realizing that

$$\text{Var} S_n^{(\text{NS})}(t) = \frac{1}{n} p(t)(1 - p(t)) \approx \frac{p(t)}{n},$$

we see that the number of runs needed is roughly of order  $1/p(t)$ , i.e., exponentially increasing...

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

A more clever algorithm can be constructed as follows (spectrally-positive case):  
use Importance Sampling.

★ Let, in the interval  $(0, t]$ , the Lévy process be twisted with  $-\zeta = -\psi(\vartheta^*) > 0$ .

Meaning:  $\varphi(\vartheta)$  replaced by  $\bar{\varphi}(\vartheta) := \varphi(\vartheta + \zeta) - \varphi(\zeta)$ .

★ But what about distribution of  $Q_0$ ?

Simulate  $Q_0$  from a  $\kappa$ -twisted version, i.e., a distribution with LT  $\mathbb{E}e^{-(\alpha-\kappa)Q_0} / \mathbb{E}e^{\kappa Q_0}$ .

Call new measure  $\mathbb{Q}_\kappa$ .

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

We simulate the process under  $\mathbb{Q}_\kappa$  till time  $t$ . Likelihood  $L := L_A \cdot L_B$ , where

★ contribution due to the twisted Lévy process between 0 and  $t$ :

$$L_A := e^{\psi(\vartheta^*)X_t} \cdot \mathbb{E}e^{-\psi(\vartheta^*)X_t} = e^{\psi(\vartheta^*)X_t} \cdot e^{\vartheta^*t}.$$

★ contribution due to the twisted queue at time 0 (use ‘Pollaczek-Khinchine’):

$$L_B := e^{-\kappa Q_0} \cdot \mathbb{E}e^{\kappa Q_0} = e^{-\kappa Q_0} \cdot \frac{-\kappa\varphi'(0)}{\varphi(-\kappa)}.$$

Estimate  $p(t)$  by, sampling under  $\mathbb{Q}_\kappa$ ,

$$S_n^{(\text{IS})}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i 1\{\tau_i > t\}.$$

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

$$L = e^{\psi(\vartheta^*)X_t} \cdot e^{\vartheta^*t} \cdot e^{-\kappa Q_0} \cdot \frac{-\kappa\varphi'(0)}{\varphi(-\kappa)}.$$

First option: not twisting  $Q_0$  at all (i.e., choosing  $\kappa = 0$ ).

This does *not* work well: recalling that a necessary condition for  $\{\tau > t\}$  is  $\{Q_0 + X_t > 0\}$ , we find

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\kappa} L^2 1\{\tau > t\} \leq \left( -\frac{\kappa\varphi'(0)}{\varphi(-\kappa)} \right)^2 e^{2\vartheta^*t} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\kappa} e^{-2\kappa Q_0} e^{-2\psi(\vartheta^*)Q_0}. \quad (4)$$

Logarithmic efficiency, meaning that the number of replications needed to obtain an estimate with a certain fixed precision grows subexponentially in the ‘rarity parameter’  $t$ :

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\kappa} L^2 1\{\tau > t\} \leq 2\vartheta^*.$$

In other words: when picking  $\kappa = 0$  we need to have  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_0} e^{-2\psi(\vartheta^*)Q_0} < \infty$  for logarithmic efficiency...

*Not a priori clear....*

## BUSY PERIOD: AN INTERMEZZO

$$L = e^{\psi(\vartheta^*)X_t} \cdot e^{\vartheta^*t} \cdot e^{-\kappa Q_0} \cdot \frac{-\kappa\varphi'(0)}{\varphi(-\kappa)}.$$

Second option: twisting with  $\kappa = -\zeta > 0$ .

Easy to see that we do get logarithmic efficiency here!

## SIMULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTION

But can we come up with an efficient simulation algorithm for  $r(t)$ ?

Remember:

$$r(t) = \frac{\mathbb{E}Q_0Q_t - \mu^2}{v},$$

with  $\mu := \mathbb{E}Q$  and  $v := \text{Var}Q$  known...

We can estimate  $\mathbb{E}Q_0Q_t - \mu^2$  by

$$T_n^{(\text{NS})}(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Q_0^{(i)} Q_t^{(i)} - \mu^2.$$

How many runs needed?

## SIMULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTION

Variance of this estimator:

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \text{Var}(Q_0 Q_t) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(Q_0^2 Q_t^2) - (\mathbb{E}(Q_0 Q_t))^2}{n} \rightarrow \frac{(\mathbb{E}Q^2)^2 - (\mathbb{E}Q)^4}{n};$$

Conclude: number of runs needed roughly proportional to  $1/r(t)^2!!!$

## SIMULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTION

We construct a coupling as follows.

Write:

$$r(t) = \frac{1}{v} \cdot \mathbb{E}(Q_0 \cdot (Q_t - Q_t^*)),$$

where both  $Q$  and  $Q^*$  are stationary versions of the workload, and  $Q_t^*$  is *independent* of  $Q_0$ .

Construct this as follows: generate  $Q_0$  and  $Q_0^*$  independently, sampled from the stationary distribution of the workload. Now use exactly the same driving Lévy process  $X_t$  over  $(0, t]$  to drive both  $Q_t$  and  $Q_t^*$  from their two independently generated initial conditions.

This makes  $Q_t$  and  $Q_0$  correlated but  $Q_t^*$  and  $Q_0$  independent.

## SIMULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTION

We can estimate  $\mathbb{E}Q_0Q_t - \mu^2$  by

$$T_n^{(\text{CS})}(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Q_0^{(i)}(Q_t^{(i)} - Q_t^{*(i)}).$$

What is performance of this estimator?

## SIMULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTION

Split  $\mathbb{E}(Q_0 \cdot (Q_t - Q_t^*))$  into four terms, as follows.

Recall  $M_t = \inf_{s \in (0, t]} X_s$ . Then

$$r(t) = r_{++}(t) + r_{+-}(t) + r_{-+}(t) + r_{--}(t),$$

where

$$r_{++}(t) := \mathbb{E}(Q_0 \cdot (Q_t - Q_t^*) \cdot 1\{Q_0 + M_t > 0, Q_0^* + M_t > 0\}),$$

$$r_{+-}(t) := \mathbb{E}(Q_0 \cdot (Q_t - Q_t^*) \cdot 1\{Q_0 + M_t > 0, Q_0^* + M_t < 0\}),$$

$$r_{-+}(t) := \mathbb{E}(Q_0 \cdot (Q_t - Q_t^*) \cdot 1\{Q_0 + M_t < 0, Q_0^* + M_t > 0\}),$$

$$r_{--}(t) := \mathbb{E}(Q_0 \cdot (Q_t - Q_t^*) \cdot 1\{Q_0 + M_t < 0, Q_0^* + M_t < 0\}).$$

It is evident that  $r_{--}(t) = 0$  as both queues have been empty.

## SIMULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTION

Key observation:  $|Q_t - Q_t^*| \leq |Q_0 - Q_0^*|$ .

We therefore have:

$$\text{Var}(Q_0(Q_t - Q_t^*)) \leq \mathbb{E}Q_0^2(Q_t - Q_t^*)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}Q_0^2(Q_0 - Q_0^*)^2.$$

In addition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}Q_0^2(Q_0 - Q_0^*)^2 &\leq \mathbb{E}(Q_0^2(Q_0 - Q_0^*)^2 \cdot 1\{Q_0 + M_t > 0, Q_0^* + M_t > 0\}) + \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}(Q_0^2(Q_0 - Q_0^*)^2 \cdot 1\{Q_0 + M_t > 0, Q_0^* + M_t \leq 0\}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}(Q_0^2(Q_0 - Q_0^*)^2 \cdot 1\{Q_0 + M_t \leq 0, Q_0^* + M_t > 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

## SIMULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTION

**Lemma:** in the spectrally-positive case

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}(Q_0^k 1\{\tau > t\}) \leq \vartheta^*$$

(and  $\dots \leq q^*$  in the spectrally-negative case).

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \text{Var}(Q_0(Q_t - Q_t^*)) \leq \vartheta^*.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\sqrt{\text{Var} T_n^{(\text{CS})}(x)}}{r(t)} \approx \frac{\sqrt{e^{\vartheta^* t}/n}}{e^{\vartheta^* t}},$$

so that number of runs needed grows roughly as  $1/r(t)$ .

**Substantial improvement!**

## SIMULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTION

Augment coupling algorithm with Importance Sampling (as for busy period),  
and we even get an algorithm for which the number of runs grows *subexponentially!*

This algorithm is called *logarithmically efficient*.

## CONCLUSIONS

Analysis of correlation structure of process after imposing reflection map: is correlation structure inherited?

Hard to solve for the case of Gaussian inputs (such as fractional Brownian motion), but . . .

explicit analysis for the Lévy case: structural results, asymptotics, and efficient simulation.